IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY
WRIT PETITION NO : 22790 of 2006

Dated 3RD NOVEMBER, 2006

Between

Malisetti Subrahmanyam

....Petitioner

and

The Vice Chancellor, Acharya Nagarjuna University,
Nagarjuna Nagar, Guntur District and ors.

...Respondents

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO :22790 of 2006

ORDER:

The petitioner, who is a graduate in Commerce from Acharya Nagarjuna University, has filed this Writ Petition, seeking Writ of Mandamus to declare the action of the respondents 1 to 3 in not disclosing the result of revaluation of the examination conducted in the month of March, 2000 as illegal and for consequential direction to provide him a job in the University and pay compensation of Rs.10,00,000/- on account of negligence on the part of the respondent authorities.

The petitioner had joined degree course in the fourth respondent college during academic year 1997- 1998 and appeared for final year degree course examinations held in the month of March, 2000. It is stated that at the first instance, a memorandum of marks was issued to the petitioner, showing that he failed in the subject of Audit. Thereafter, the petitioner had applied for revaluation. It appears, the result of the revaluation was not conveyed to him immediately. But, as per the material papers filed by the petitioner along with this Writ petition, it is clear that the pass memo including the subject of Audit, was furnished as early as on 15-11-2002. After lapse of five years, it is not open for the petitioner to complain that revaluation result was not immediately notified to him. In any event, with regard to the alleged negligence of the respondents, it is a matter to be enquired into, which cannot be done in this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. In that view of the matter, it is not a fit case to consider for grant of relief as prayed for at this point of time.

The Writ Petition is devoid of merits and is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

DATE: 03-11-2006.
Msnr.